## COMMISSIONERS RECORD R, DOUGLAS COUNTY

Term, 19	
----------	--

day of

19



bids for HUMA At 10:30 a.m. the Board received and opened bids relative to furnishings re Of OUX Magb offices quired in the new judicial and law enforcement building and for the offices to building which will be located in the courthouse when received and for the offices bids were received. Following preliminary review of the bids it was moved by Dud. Build prior Mr. Whitenight that Mr. Williams and Mr. DeBusman be requested to further re-B Counctioning 20modeling. Ralview the bids and make recommendation to the Board on October 7, seconded by comendation on Mr. Stoneback, and carried unanimously.

further review the bids and submit their recommendation to the Board on

Thursday, October 7, seconded by Mr. Stoneback, and carried unanimously.

MC A coront-Houdquarters/DO. 6. Guel Diversion app. application

10/7/76

10/7/76

County Attorney David Berkowitz, Assistant Mike Malone, and Corrections Director Judy Osburn, along with Alan Johnson, Director of Headquarters, discussed with the Board the merits of the Douglas County Court Diversion Program which has been directed by Headquarters with Mr. Alan Johnson as program director. Consensus of comment by those present indicated to the Board that the program had proven to be beneficial. Following discussion it was moved by Mr. Stoneback that the Board approve submitting the Headquarters/Douglas County Court Diversion grant application to GCCA requesting funding for the period January 1, 1977 thru December 31, 1977 which grant request is in the amount of \$6000 from GCCA with Douglas County funding being \$1621.20 in addition.

App. (On DRAF The Board received and reviewed further the proposed contract from Lesh, of 1976 audit Barrand & Schehrer, accountants, for audit services for the Fiscal Year 1976. from Grah, Bar. Barrand & Schehrer, accountants, for audit services for the Fiscal Year 1976. Storn Grah, Bar. Barrand on September 30. The proposed contract sets out all services to be provided for a maximum fee of \$18,500. Following due review and discussion it was moved by Mr. Whitenight that the proposed contract be approved, seconded by Mr. Stoneback, and carried unanimously.