## COMMISSIONER'S RECORD N

Pourlas County Republican, Lawrence

The Commissioners talked to Mrs. Margaret Hurley, County School Superintendent, about the request of Fred Winters to have certain land in Marion Township transferred from School District No. 41 to No. 23. This land is described as the North 70 acres of the Southeast  $\frac{1}{2}$  of Section 33, Township 14, Range 18. It appears that the tenant who is to live on the property owned by Mr. Winters, has a child who he wishes to attend School No. 23, as he believes it will be more convenient to take the child to this school. Upon investigation, the Board could not see where convenient to take the child to this school. Upon investigation, the Board could not see where the distance from one school or the other from the home of said tenant was any greater than the other. The Commissioners, also, did not believe it wise to transfer this property from one district to another in order to accommodate one party, who may not even rent the property of Mr. Winters for any great length of time. The next tenant may wish to remain in the present school district. Therefore, the Board said that it would make no change as requested, and the matter is now clessed, unless further evidence is brought to its attention.

Since the County is in need of more lumber for the construction of the building at Vinland, Kansas, the Board directed the Acting County Engineer to wreck Building No. 10-B at the Lone Star Lake, the lumber of same to be used for this purpose.

Before the Board, there appeared D. H. Spencer, regarding his property in University Heights Part III, which is now on the tax rolls described as Lots and which Mr. Spencer wishes changed to meter int, which is now on the tax fors described as Lots and which Mr. openeer wisnes changed to meters and bounds descriptions, with a decrease in the assessed valuation thereon. This property has been looked over by the County Clerk and two other responsible men whose report the Com-missioners carefully checked. After considerable discussion with the County Clerk, the Board took action as per the following resolution:

## RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, there appeared before the Board of County Commissioners of Douglas County, State of Kansas, there appeared before the Board of County Commissioners of Douglas County, State of Kansas, this 1st day of October, 1943, Daniel H. Spencer of said county and state, requesting that the plat of University Heights Part III, as filed in the office of the Register of Deeds on the 20th day of November, 1928, be vacated, the sole owners of the entire tract being Daniel H. Spencer and Ina Spencer, his wife, and

WHEREAS, said Mr. Spencer asked that the assessed valuation of University Heights Part III and the unplatted property, also owned soley by Daniel H. and Ina Spencer, both described below, be reduced, and that a new value be set thereon with both properties entered on the assessment rolls in a metes and bounds description:

University Heights Part III: Lots 1 to 10, inc., Lots 18 and 19, and Lots 27 to 32 inc.---Total Value \$2850.00.

Beg. 20 R West of NE cor of  $S_2^1$  of  $S_4^{1}$ , S 18 R, W  $4S_2^1$ R, S 34 2/5 R, W 41 81/100 R, N 52 2/5 R, E 88 3/10 R, less 5 231/1000<sup>a</sup> to Strong, and less University Heights Part III, 36-12-19. (5 927/1000<sup>a</sup>) Total value \$1500.00, and

WHEREAS, it appears that in the year 1939, a petition for the vacation of this plat was pre WHEREAS, it appears that in the year 1939, a petition for the vacation of this plat was pre-sented to the Board of County Commissioners, and pursuant thereon on March 6, 1939, said Board had passed a resolution ordering that the plat of the University Heights Part III be vacated, said order being certified to the Register of Deeds who forthwith marked the recorded plat "Cancelled by Order". It, also, so appears that through some error, the proper correction on the Assessment Roll in the office of the County Clerk was not made, and that the tract known as University Heights Part III still the said or of the county clerk was not made. remains on the roll described as lots rather than a metes and bound descriptions.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of County Commissioners that the assessment rolls be corrected, striking off the University Heights Part III descriptions in lots as now recorded and that this property along with the unplatted property described above in Section 36-12-19, be combined in a metes and bounds description as shown below:

Beg. at a point on the North line of  $S_2^1$  of SW<sup>1</sup><sub>4</sub>, Section 36-12-19, 16.625 ch. (1097.25') West of the NE corner of the  $S_2^1$  of SW<sup>1</sup><sub>4</sub> of said Sec. 36; thence south parallel to West line of said  $S_2^1$  of SW<sup>1</sup><sub>4</sub> of said Sec. 36, 864.6' to Iron Pipe; thence west parallel to N line of said  $S_2^1$  of SW<sup>1</sup><sub>4</sub> of Sec. 36, 690.03' to concrete monument with brass center; thence N parallel to the W line of  $S_2^1$  of SW<sup>1</sup><sub>4</sub> of sec. 36, 864.6' to stone; thence East along North line of  $S_2^1$  of SW<sup>1</sup><sub>4</sub> Sec. 36, 690.03' to point of beginning. (13.625<sup>a</sup>)

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the assessed valuation of the above described property be set at approximately \$250.00 per acre, a total valuation of \$3400.00, a reduction of \$950.00, the old value of the two properties being \$4350.00; appraisal of the property having been made by the County Clerk acting in his capacity as County Assessor.

ATTEST:

L. H. Griffith Chairman

R. Gerhart County Clerk.

	Ed Johnson			
Lember				
	M.	N.	Penny	
Momber				

Cu. Eng. Endudd Frank Page of Eudora, presented a complaint to the Board regarding the drainage of water from the county road running north and south, from which Township Road No. 326 extends west. He says there is only a five foot bridge on the township road and it will not carry all the water from the there is only a rive root orige on the township road and it will not darry all the water ited one county road. Mr. Page stated that he lives further down from this bridge and is taking all this excess water on his land. He is asking that the county provide some means whereby the township road and his land would not have to take all this water. It was suggested that a 24" pipe be laid across the county road in order to take care of this excess water. Since this seemed the feasible thing to do, the Acting County Engineer was ordered by the Board to lay this pipe at his first opportunity.

No further business appearing, the Board adjourned to meet October 4, 1943.

1

ATTEST: MR. Gerhart, County Clerk.

L. N. J. Chirman of the Board of County Commissioners.