

others asking that a road be located and established at certain points named therein also asking that a certain road be vacated, and it appearing to the Board that legal notice of the petition had been given it was ordered that Dr. C. Lambdin, Leroy Grandall and Edwin Merwin be appointed to meet on the 1st day of May next at the house of Dr. Merwin and view the route of said proposed road, and also report in regard to the road asked to be vacated.

A petition was presented signed by Dr. O. and others asking that a road be located and established at certain points named therein.

A petition was also presented signed by David Puratt and others asking for the location of a road at certain points named, and it appearing to the Board that legal publications had been made of said petitions. It was on motion ordered that Geo. C. Brackett, Ernest Hill and William W. Bausch be appointed to view said proposed roads to meet at Stewart's Crossing bridge on the 26th day of April A.D. 1866 at ten o'clock A.M.

A report from the viewers of a proposed road petitioned for by Thos. McHugh and others was presented read and on motion the report was laid over.

Wm. Litchfield Justice of Harrison Township presented his report of receipts and expenditures for services as Justice and Report as Overseer of Poor of said Township for the year ending March 2, 1866 which were examined together with vouchers accompanying and approved.

W. H. Godwin Justice of Clinton Township presented his report of receipts and expenditures and bill for services as Justice for the year ending March 2, 1866 with vouchers which were examined and approved.

On motion the Board adjourned until tomorrow morning at nine o'clock.

Charles K. Fooks

Com. Clerk.

Thursday April 5, 1866 9 o'clock

The Board met pursuant to adjournment full board and clerk present.

A report was presented and read from the Reviewers of the Lawrence and Marion road (so called). On motion the report was adopted and the road ordered to be reestablished in accordance with the field notes forming a part of the report. The reviewers report that damages were claimed on account of the relocation of said road by E. B. Bole to the amount of \$1500, that they accept the damages to said Bole at \$400.

On motion the claim was disallowed on the ground that no damages can be allowed under the Law on reestablishing a road.

The report of the Reviewers of a proposed road