

No further business, the Board adjourned to meet on Thursday, October 7, 1976.

ATTEST:

D. E. Mathia
D. E. Mathia County Clerk

Arthur A. Heck
Arthur A. Heck Chairman

October 7, 1976

The Board of County Commissioners of Douglas County met in regular adjourned session with all members of the Board present.

Approved the minutes of the meeting of October 6, 1976.

Budget-fund
balances of
8/31/76

Darlene Hill, budget director, presented to the Board and discussed with the Board statement of fund balances as of August 31, 1976, and a statement of expenditures and balances of budget appropriations from January 1, 1976 to September 16, 1976.

Pub. Works-dis-
missal action
against Lydia
Roser

Counselor Dan Young presented the Board copy of Motion requesting court dismissal of the action filed by the Board of Commissioners vs. Lydia Roser, et al. Counselor Young indicated that the defendants in this action had voluntarily consented to the plaintiff's entering the land of defendants for the purpose of making preliminary engineering and geological surveys.

Pub. Works: app.
utility agree-
ment
11.00N-21.15E water
Do. B and Rural
water dist #4

Tom McGee, Engineering Division Manager of the Public Works Department, presented the Board prepared agreement between Rural Water District No. 4 and Douglas County relative to relocation of facilities necessitated by construction of Bridge No. 11.00N-21.15E. It was moved by Mr. Whitenight that this utility agreement be approved, seconded by Mr. Stoneback, and carried unanimously.

Pub. Works: RW
contract w/ Mr. &
Mrs. Charles
Moore

Tom McGee, Engineering Division Manager of the Public Works Department, presented the Board a contract for right-of-way relative to Bridge No. 02.00N-08.01E between Charles P. Moore and Lena Belle Moore, husband and wife, and Douglas County. The contract calls for payment to Mr. & Mrs. Moore the lump sum of \$155.50. It was moved by Mr. Whitenight that this contract be approved, seconded by Mr. Stoneback, and carried unanimously.

Pub. Works:
RW contracts
w/ Mr. & Mrs.
Robert C. A. Shelley,
Sylvia Shelley,
Mr. & Mrs. Clifford
Houk, Mr. & Mrs.
Charles Shelley,
and Alvin
Harrell.

Tom McGee, Engineering Division Manager of the Public Works Department, presented the Board the following contracts for right-of-way relative to Project No. 23-RS-508(9) & 23-BRS-508(10): (1) Between Robert C. A. Shelley, also known as Robert Carol Allen Shelley, and Delores Ellen Shelley, husband and wife, and Douglas County. The contract calls for payment to Mr. & Mrs. Shelley the lump sum of \$2500, (2) Between Sylvia M. Shelley, a widow, and Douglas County. The contract calls for payment to Mrs. Shelley the lump sum of \$450, (3) Between Clifford W. Houk and Phyllis I. Houk, husband and wife, recorded owners; and Holt Land Company, a Missouri Corporation; Ronald A. Holt and Helen N. Holt, his wife, as individuals; Viking Investment Corporation, a Kansas Corporation; Mark Q. Moore and Lois A. Moore, his wife; Allen L. Moore and Dorothy J. Moore, his wife, and Howard B. Conkey, Jr. and Joan W. Conkey, his wife, as individuals, contract buyers, and Douglas County. The contract calls for payment to Holt Land Company and Viking Investment Corporation the lump sum of \$250, (4) Between Charles R. Shelley and Joan E. Shelley, husband and wife, and Douglas County. The contract calls for payment to Mr. & Mrs. Shelley the lump sum of \$5650, and (5) Between Alvin Harrell, a tenant contract on the property of Charles R. Shelley and Joan E. Shelley, husband and wife, with the lump sum payment of \$1 to Mr. Harrell. It was moved by Mr. Whitenight that these contracts be approved, seconded by Mr. Stoneback, and carried unanimously.

Jud. Build-
app bid of
M & M Office Supply
for furniture
for offices

Jim Williams, representing the firm of Peters, Williams & Kubota, architects for the judicial and law enforcement building, reported to the Board that following review of the bids received on October 6, 1976, for furnishings in the new judicial and law enforcement building, including civil defense and offices in the remodeled courthouse, that his recommendation to the Board was that the bid of M & M Office Supply be accepted which bid was in the following amounts:

Group 1 (Judicial & Law Enforcement Furnishings)	\$34,161.28
Group 2 (Civil Defense Area)	3,914.47
Group 3 (Courthouse Offices)	23,671.33

Following due discussion it was moved by Mr. Stoneback that the Board accept this recommendation with Group 1 and Group 3 being funded by revenue sharing funds and Group 2 being funded by EOC grant funds, seconded by Mr. Whitenight, and carried unanimously.